

YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.

THOMAS N. YOUNG
ANDREW R. BASILE
WILLIAM M. HANCOCK, JR.
MARSHALL G. MACFARLANE
DONALD L. WOOD
THOMAS D. MELWING, D.P.
TODD L. MOORE
THOMAS E. BLAIR
CHRISTOPHER A. MITCHELL
KATHLEEN G. MELLON
DARLENE P. CONNOR
AMANDA L. CONTI DUNNANE
MOLLY BASILE MANLEY
CHRISTIAN J. GARANDIA
MICHELLE L. KNIGHT

YOUNG, BASILE, HANCOCK,
MACFARLANE, WOOD & MELWING, P.C.
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS AND COPYRIGHTS
3001 TROY BOULEVARD, SUITE 624
TROY, MICHIGAN 48064-0107

TELEPHONE: (248) 649-3333
FACSIMILE: (248) 649-3332
HTTP://WWW.YBPC.COM

2001 COMMONWEALTH BLVD.
SUITE 501
ANN ARBOR, MI 48105-1562
TELEPHONE (734) 662-0270
FACSIMILE (734) 662-1014

OF COUNSEL
DUNCAN P. BEAMAN
JASON J. YOUNG

TOWNSEND F. BEAMAN
(1931-1983)
DAVID B. EYERLYN
(1920-2000)

MY. CLEBINE
(810) 469-1141

GRAND RAPIDS
(616) 942-2324

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: October 21, 2003
OUR REFERENCE: none
TO: Examiner Mark Budd
FACSIMILE NO.: (703) 308-7382
FROM: Vanessa Williams
RE: Advisory action
PAGES TO FOLLOW: - 1 -

MESSAGE: We received the attached advisory action in error. The first page referenced one of our cases but this one does not. I left 2 messages regarding it last week but have not received a response. I thought the firm it was intended for may be waiting for it.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND/OR CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE NAMED RECIPIENT. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is strictly prohibited. Thank you.

This message was transmitted by _____
Vanessa _____ in the Troy office. If transmission difficulties occur, please contact sender at (248) 649-3333. Please respond to:

FACSIMILE NO. (248) 649-3338

- () Please call to confirm receipt
(x) Original will not follow
() Original will follow by:
____ Regular Mail
____ Express Mail
____ Federal Express
____ Other _____

RECEIVED
OCT 21 2003
RECEIVED - CIVIL RIGHTS

Advisory Action

Application No.

02/674,771

Applicant(s)

HEINZ ET AL.

Examiner

Mark Budd

Art Unit

2834

~The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ~

THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b))

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.171(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 (a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal, and/or
 (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: 90 degree limitation not previously considered.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: _____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s): _____.
 10. ☐ Other: _____

Mark Budd
 Primary Examiner
 Art Unit: 2834

FAX RETURNED

OCT 21 2003

RECEIVED 10/21/2003